	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/773,424	DAIGLE, MARIE A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dmitry Suhol	3725
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	<u>owed</u>
(1) Dmitry Suhol.	(3) <u>Ms. Chadwell</u> .	
(2) <u>Ms. Basile</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2005	Time: afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Claims discussed: 2, 21-24		
Prior art documents discussed: Mandle '645 and Seidl '603		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
D Enlos		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)